SENATE REVENUE COMMITTEE SEN, VERNON COOK, CHAIRMAN

SEN, VICTOR ATIYEH
SEN, LOYAL LANG
SEN, JACK RIPPER
TN, FRANK ROBERTS
BLAINE WHIPPLE
GEORGE WINGARD



#### STATE OF OREGON

#### LEGISLATIVE REVENUE OFFICE

200 PUBLIC SERVICE BUILDING SALEM, OREGON 97310

LEGISLATIVE REVENUE OFFICER RICHARD A. MUNN AREA CODE 503 378-8873 HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE

REP. EARL BLUMENAUER, CHAIRMAN

REP. GRATTAN KERANS

REP. ROBERT MARX

REP. NORMA PAULUS

REP. WALLY PRIESTLEY

REP. GEORGE STARR REP. TONY VAN YLIET

REP. PAUL WALDEN

October 1, 1976

TO:

Senator Victor Atiyeh

FROM:

Richard A. Munn

Legislative Revenue Officer

Ronald L. Chastain

Economist

SUBJECT: Estimation of Tax Change

You requested I analyze and provide examples of the following tax proposal.

# Proposa1

Eliminate the school property taxes on owner-occupied homes. School property taxes would be collected on all other classes of property. Owner-occupied homes would be on the property tax rolls for all other property tax levies-city, county, ports, and special district. The school property tax revenue normally collected from owner-occupied homes would be replaced by a personal income tax increase. The increase would be for those over the median family income, or over \$30,000. There would be a limitation on all other property taxes of 3% of family income. Finally, there would be a prohibition on reassessment of a person's home who is 65 or older. What would the impact be in 1975-77?

# Analysis

# (1) School Levies

In 1975-76, school property tax levies amounted to \$522 million. It is estimated that school levies will be approximately \$590 million in 1976-77. Owner-occupied homes make up about 36% of the property tax roll. Thus, owner-occupied homes will pay about 36% of the school levies for 1975-77.

Senator Victor Atiyeh October 1, 1976 Page 2

> 1975-76 1976-77 Total \$ 522 million 590 million \$1,112 million \$36 \$ 400 million

# (2) Homeowner Property Tax Relief

By eliminating school property taxes on owner-occupied homes, it would reduce the cost of the state homeowner property tax relief program. This would amount to about a \$24 million savings to the State General Fund.

# (3) 3% Limit on Homeowner Property Taxes

Another aspect of the program is to limit the homeowner property taxes to 3% of a family's income. If all property taxes above 3% of a family's income are to be paid out of the General Fund, it would cost about \$10 million in 1975-77. Or, alternatively, \$10 million would have to be shifted to other classes of property over the 1975-77 biennium.

# (4) Total Cost to General Fund

1. School Levy
2. Saving in HARRP
3. 3% Limitation
Total

\$400 million
- 24 million
10 million
\$386 million

# (5) Personal Income Tax Change

This \$386 million was to be made up from increasing the personal income tax in one of two ways.

- (a) Increase in the personal income tax for all taxpayers over the median--about \$13,000 in 1974. To raise \$386 million from those above \$13,000 during the 1975-77 biennium would have required a 49% tax increase for those brackets.
- (b) Increase in the personal income tax for all taxpayers over \$30,000 in annual income. To raise the \$386 million from these taxpayers would have required a 142% increase. The upper personal income tax rate would have to approach 25%.

Senator Victor Atiyeh October 1, 1976 Page 3

# (6) Long Run

Over a longer period of time, the proposal might well create a significant tax shift. The magnitude of the shift is unknown.

# (7) Assumption

It is assumed that the school property tax levy is extended against the homestead and then offset by the state contribution. This would  $d_{\lambda}^{\text{be}}$  much like the homestead property tax deferral law operates.

# (8) Warning

I would caution you that the analysis is quick and, thus, possibly subject to error.

# (9) Examples

EXAMPLES
Family of Four
Wage Income & Itemized Deductions

I.	Mu1	tnomah County	Before	After		
	Α,	AGI \$10,000 Home Value \$17,000		Above \$13,000	Above \$30,000	
		Property Tax (net of refund) State Income Tax	\$387 263	\$142 263	\$142 263	
	B .	AGI \$15,000 Home Value \$25,000 Property Tax State Income Tax	\$716 530	\$356 790	\$356 530	
	C.	AGI \$35,000 Home Value \$65,000 Property Tax State Income Tax	\$1,862 1,865	\$926 \$2,779	\$926 \$4,513	

Senator Victor Atiyeh October 1, 1976 Page 4

# (9) Examples (continued)

# EXAMPLES Family of Four Wage Income & Itemized Deductions

II.	Lincoln County		Before		After					
	Α.	AGI \$10,000 Home Value \$17,000 Property Tax (net of refund) State Income Tax			Above \$13,000		Above \$30,000			
			\$	336 263	\$	106 263	\$	106 263		
	В.	AGI \$15,000 Home Value \$25,000 Property Tax State Income Tax	4		<b>A</b>	704	0.5			
			\$	641 530	\$	304 790	\$30 53	30		
	С.	AGI \$35,000 Home Value \$65,000 Property Tax State Income Tax								
				,666 ,865		790 779	\$ \$4	790 513		
III.	Washington County									
	Α.	AGI \$10,000 Home Value \$17,000			_					
		Property Tax (net of refund) State Income Tax	\$	394 263	\$	44 263	\$	44 263		
	В.	AGI \$15,000 Home Value \$25,000			0					
		Property Tax State Income Tax	\$	727 530	\$	211 790	\$	211 530		
	C.	AGI \$35,000 Home Value \$65,000 Property Tax State Income Tax								
				,890 ,865	\$ \$2;	549 ,779	\$ \$4	549 513		